

# WHEN $C(X)$ IS AN $h$ -LOCAL RING

PAPIYA BHATTACHARJEE<sup>1</sup>, ALEXANDRA EPSTEIN<sup>2</sup>, WARREN WM. MCGOVERN<sup>3</sup>,  
AND MATTHEW TOENISKOETTER<sup>4</sup>

ABSTRACT. An  $h$ -local domain is a domain for which each nonzero prime ideal is contained in a unique maximal ideal and each nonzero element has finite character. In [17], the author generalizes the notion to rings with zero-divisors by restricting the definition to regular ideals and elements. In this article, we give a characterization of when  $C(X)$  the ring of continuous real-valued functions on a space  $X$  is an  $h$ -local ring. We are left with more questions than answers.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the theory of integral domains, the concept of an  $h$ -local domain is well-known. Originally defined by Matlis [15], later Olberding [16] supplied a list of equivalent conditions for a domain to be an  $h$ -local domain. Interestingly, P. Jaffard [11], prior to Matlis, studied domains he called Dedekind type. In [6, Theorem 2.1.5], the authors show that a domain is  $h$ -local if and only if it is of Dedekind type. One of the main goals of a recent dissertation [17] was to generalize the concept of an  $h$ -local domain to the case of rings with zero-divisors. Our interest in this article is to characterize the  $h$ -local condition for rings of continuous functions.

Recall that an integral domain  $R$  is said to be an  $h$ -local domain if each nonzero prime ideal of  $R$  is contained in a unique maximal ideal and each nonzero element has finite character. As has become customary in generalizing to rings with zero-divisors the focus is on regular elements (i.e. non-zero-divisors). Throughout by **ring** we mean a (non-trivial) commutative ring with identity. An ideal is said to be **regular** if it contains a regular element.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $R$  be a ring. We say  $R$  is  **$h$ -local** if each regular prime ideal of  $R$  is contained in a unique maximal ideal and each regular element is contained in at most finitely many maximal ideals of  $R$ .

**Remark 1.2.** In [14], the authors study  $h$ -local rings but their definition is a bit stronger in the sense that they do not restrict to regular prime ideals nor regular elements.

Our interest in  $h$ -local rings was spurred on by attendance in a seminar at Florida Atlantic University covering material in the dissertation by A. Omairi ([17]). We were interested in understanding what the  $h$ -local condition means in the context of rings of real-valued continuous functions.

We shall employ the following notation. For a ring  $R$ ,  $\text{Spec}(R)$  denotes its space of prime ideals and  $\text{Max}(R)$  the subspace of maximal ideals.

2. WHEN  $C(X)$  IS  $h$ -LOCAL

Throughout,  $C(X)$  denotes the ring of real-valued continuous functions defined on the topological space  $X$ . We assume throughout that  $X$  is a Tychonoff space, that is, completely regular and Hausdorff. Rings of the form  $C(X)$  are always  $pm$ -rings, that is, every prime ideal is contained in a unique maximal ideal and so half of the definition of  $h$ -local is already satisfied. We state this formally.

**Lemma 2.1.** *The ring  $C(X)$  is  $h$ -local if and only if every regular element of  $C(X)$  is contained in at most finitely many maximal ideals of  $X$ .*

We assume knowledge of the ring  $C(X)$  and cite [7] as our main reference. In order to keep the material self-contained we recall that for  $f \in C(X)$ , the **zero-set** of  $f$  is the set

$$Z(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}.$$

The set-theoretic complement of  $Z(f)$  is denoted by  $\text{coz}(f)$  and is called the **cozero-set** of  $f$ . A subset of  $X$  is called a zero-set (resp. cozero-set) if it has the form  $Z(f)$  (resp.  $\text{coz}(f)$ ) for some  $f \in C(X)$ . It is known that a Hausdorff space is Tychonoff if and only if the set of cozero-sets forms a base for the open sets. All zero-sets are closed but not conversely. We let the operators  $\text{int}(\cdot)$  and  $\text{cl}(\cdot)$  denote the interior and closure of a subset of  $X$ .

Observe that the invertible and regular elements of  $C(X)$  can be characterized by their zero-sets. Namely,  $f \in C(X)$  is invertible if and only if  $Z(f) = \emptyset$ , and  $f \in C(X)$  is regular if and only if  $\text{int} Z(f) = \emptyset$ .

To each  $x \in X$  there is a corresponding maximal ideal of  $C(X)$ , namely

$$M_x = \{f \in C(X) : f(x) = 0\}.$$

One version of the Gelfand-Kolmogorov Theorem states that the space of maximal ideals of  $C(X)$  equipped with the hull-kernel (aka Zariski) topology, denoted  $\text{Max}(C(X))$ , is homeomorphic to the Čech-Stone compactification of  $X$ , denoted  $\beta X$ ; we view  $\beta X$  as the space of zero-set ultrafilters on  $X$ . Furthermore, the Gelfand-Kolmogorov Theorem ([7, Theorem 7.3]) states that for  $p \in \beta X$ ,

$$M^p = \{f \in C(X) : Z(f) \in p\} = \{f \in C(X) : p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)\}$$

is the maximal ideal corresponding to  $p$ . When  $p \in X$ , then  $M_p = M^p$  and the point  $p$  can be viewed as the principal zero-set ultrafilter generated by  $p$ . And this correspondence is a continuous dense embedding of  $X$  into  $\beta X$ . Since we are interested in considering the set of maximal ideals of  $C(X)$  containing a given  $f \in C(X)$ , we shall, as is customary, let  $V(f) = \{M \in \text{Max}(C(X)) : f \in M\}$ . Observe then that  $V(f) \cap X = Z(f)$ .

**Remark 2.2.** For those interested in understanding rings of the form  $C(X, \mathbb{A})$  for some proper subring  $\mathbb{A}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ , say  $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{Z}$ , let us dispel with any hopes that this might be interesting. Observe that for each  $x \in X$ , the ideal  $M_x = \{f \in C(X, \mathbb{A}) : f(x) = 0\}$  is a prime ideal since  $C(X, \mathbb{A})/M_x = \mathbb{A}$ . If  $\mathbb{A}$  is not a field, then let  $N$

be a maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{A}$  and choose a nonzero  $a \in N$ . For each  $x \in X$ , there is a maximal ideal  $N_x$  of  $C(X, \mathbb{A})$  containing  $M_x$  such that  $N_x/M_x = N$ . The constant function  $\mathbf{a} \in C(X, \mathbb{A})$  is regular and belongs to each  $N_x$  and therefore if  $X$  is infinite, then  $C(X, \mathbb{A})$  is not  $h$ -local. It follows that either  $X$  is finite and  $\mathbb{A}$  is  $h$ -local or else,  $\mathbb{A}$  is a field in which case the theory follows the situation of  $C(X)$  with some minor modifications.

The aim here is investigate when  $C(X)$  is an  $h$ -local ring. Now, as is typical, if the only regular elements of a ring  $R$  are the invertible ones, then trivially  $R$  is an  $h$ -local ring. Such rings are often called *classical* (or *total*) since they equal their classical ring of quotients. This leads us to recall the following class of spaces.

**Definition 2.3.** A space  $X$  is said to be an **almost  $P$ -space** if every zero-set has non-empty interior. This is equivalent to saying that  $X$  has no proper dense cozero-sets.

Recall  $X$  is an almost  $P$ -space if and only if  $C(X)$  is classical; see [5, 3.2]. Put another way,  $X$  is an almost  $P$ -space if and only if for every regular  $f \in C(X)$ ,  $Z(f) = \emptyset$ . It is now obvious that the condition that  $C(X)$  is  $h$ -local generalizes that  $X$  is an almost  $P$ -space. We state this formally. (For the reader not fluent in the language of rings of continuous functions, a space  $X$  is a  **$P$ -space** if the topology of  $X$  is closed under countable intersections. Furthermore,  $X$  is a  $P$ -space if and only if  $C(X)$  is a von Neumann regular ring.)

**Proposition 2.4.** *If  $X$  is an almost  $P$ -space, then  $C(X)$  is an  $h$ -local ring.*

We observe that the space  $X$  is an almost  $P$ -space if and only if the interior of any non-empty  $G_\delta$ -set is non-empty. (Recall that a  $G_\delta$ -set in a space is a countable intersection of open sets.) A classic example of an almost  $P$ -space is the one-point compactification of an uncountable discrete space. We shall denote this space by  $\alpha D$ . When  $D$  is a countable discrete set we instead shall write  $\alpha\mathbb{N}$ ; this space is not an almost  $P$ -space as it is metrizable and metrizable almost  $P$ -spaces are discrete.

Another classic example of an almost  $P$ -space is  $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ . In fact, any space of the form  $\beta X \setminus X$  for some locally compact, realcompact space  $X$  is an almost  $P$ -space. One can find these results and other information concerning almost  $P$ -spaces in [12].

It is common in the study of rings of continuous functions to generalize a notion about spaces to a notion about points. This shall be useful here. In this vein, a point  $p \in X$  is called an *almost  $P$ -point* if whenever  $f \in C(X)$  and  $p \in Z(f)$ , then  $\text{int } Z(f) \neq \emptyset$ . Obviously, an isolated point is an almost  $P$ -point. The point  $\alpha \in \alpha D$  is a non-isolated almost  $P$ -point. A space  $X$  is an almost  $P$ -space if and only if every point of  $X$  is an almost  $P$ -point.

One might think that the concept of an almost  $P$ -space would characterize when  $C(X)$  is  $h$ -local. However, a quick check shows that if  $X = \alpha\mathbb{N}$ , the one-point compactification of the naturals, then  $C(X)$  is  $h$ -local since the regular elements are

the ones which either vanish nowhere (i.e. invertible) or only vanish at  $\alpha$ . The latter type belong to only one maximal ideal,  $M_\alpha$ . This leads one to see that if there are at most a finite number of points which are not almost  $P$ -points, then  $C(X)$  is  $h$ -local. The question then becomes does having only a finite number of non-almost  $P$ -points characterize  $h$ -local  $C(X)$ . Our next example demonstrates that the answer is still no.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $I$  be an uncountable indexing set. For each  $i \in I$ , let  $X_i$  be a distinct copy of the one-point compactification of the naturals, with unique non-isolated point  $\alpha_i$ . Let  $Y$  be the disjoint union of the copies of the  $X_i$ . The space  $Y$  is locally compact and therefore we may consider the space  $X$  which is the one-point compactification of  $Y$ ;  $X = \alpha Y$ . We claim that  $C(X)$  is  $h$ -local even though there are uncountably many points which are not almost  $P$ -points.

There are two interesting features here. First, we claim that the point  $\alpha$  is an almost  $P$ -point of  $X$ . Let  $f \in C(X)$  and suppose that  $f$  vanishes at  $\alpha$ . Choose a natural number  $n$  and let  $n_i$  be its copy in  $X_i$ . Let  $T = \{n_i\}_{i \in I} \cup \{\alpha\}$ . Since  $X$  is compact it follows that  $T$  is a copy of  $\alpha D$ , an almost  $P$ -space since  $I$  is uncountable. Then, by considering the restriction of  $f$  to  $T$ , it follows that  $f$  must vanish at some  $n_i$ , an isolated point. Therefore,  $Z(f)$  has non-empty interior.

Next, if  $f \in C(X)$  is regular, then as we just pointed out,  $f$  cannot vanish at  $\alpha$ . Thus,  $Z(f) \subseteq \{\alpha_i : i \in I\}$ . Now, if  $Z(f)$  were infinite then it would be forced to contain  $\alpha$  since  $\alpha$  is in the closure of any infinite collection of the  $\alpha_i$ . Consequently,  $Z(f)$  is finite.

**Remark 2.6.** Not surprisingly, if we were to instead use a countable indexing set  $I$ , then the space  $X$  is not  $h$ -local. Since, in this case, the set  $\{\alpha_i\} \cup \{\alpha\}$  is a nowhere dense zero-set of  $X$ .

In the literature there has been a need to study subsets of a space which behave like almost  $P$ -points.

**Definition 2.7.** First, we recall [9, Definition 3.9] that a closed subset  $S$  of  $X$  is called an **almost  $P$ -set** if whenever  $S \subseteq Z$ , for a zero-set  $Z$  of  $X$ , then  $S \subseteq \text{cl int } Z$ .

We have found that this notion of an almost  $P$ -set is not exactly what we need for our purposes. Therefore, we define the following related concept.

**Definition 2.8.** We shall call a closed set  $S$  with the property that any zero-set containing  $S$  has non-empty interior an  **$ap$ -set**.

Clearly, any almost  $P$ -set is an  $ap$ -set. Here is a method to construct  $ap$ -sets which are not almost  $P$ -sets, whence the notion of almost  $P$ -set is stronger than that of an  $ap$ -set. Take a space  $X$  that is not an almost  $P$ -space but contains an almost  $P$ -point, say  $p \in X$ . Let  $Z$  be a nonempty nowhere dense zero-set of  $X$  and set  $S = Z \cup \{p\}$ . Any zero-set containing  $S$  will have non-empty interior. Since  $p \notin Z$ , there is some zero-set  $Z_1$  containing  $p$  and disjoint from  $Z$ . The zero-set  $Z_2 = Z_1 \cup Z$  contains  $S$ , yet does not satisfy  $S \subseteq \text{cl int } Z_2$ .

Many authors have used the existence of almost  $P$ -sets in certain spaces to further their work. We have not systematically gone through the literature to see if their

results hold more generally for  $ap$ -sets though we do recognize that it is possible. We did find that [9, Proposition 3.10] holds for  $ap$ -sets. What is for sure is that the notion of an  $ap$ -set is of use to us in characterizing  $h$ -local spaces.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space. The following statements are equivalent.*

1.  $C(X)$  is  $h$ -local.
2. Every infinite closed subset of  $X$  is an  $ap$ -set.
3. Every closed subset of  $X$  which is not an  $ap$ -set is finite.
4. For every regular  $f \in C(X)$ ,  $Z(f)$  is finite.
5.  $X$  has no infinite nowhere dense zero-sets.

*Proof.* 1. implies 2. Let  $S$  be an infinite closed subset of  $X$  and suppose that  $S \subseteq Z(f)$  for some  $f \in C(X)$ . Since  $Z(f)$  is infinite, then  $f$  is not regular, i.e.  $\text{int } Z(f) \neq \emptyset$ . Thus,  $S$  is an  $ap$ -set.

2. is clearly equivalent to 3.

2. implies 4. Let  $f \in C(X)$  be regular. Then  $Z(f)$  cannot be infinite since then it would be an  $ap$ -set, which it clearly is not.

4. implies 1. Let  $f \in C(X)$  be regular and so by hypothesis  $Z(f)$  is finite. It follows that for all  $p \in \beta X \setminus X$ ,  $Z(f) \not\subseteq p$ , whence  $V(f) = Z(f)$ . Therefore,  $C(X)$  is  $h$ -local.

That 4. and 5. are equivalent is obvious. □

**Definition 2.10.** For lack of a better term, it will be convenient to call a space  $X$   **$h$ -local** if  $C(X)$  is  $h$ -local.

**Corollary 2.11.** *If  $X$  is  $h$ -local, then every non-almost  $P$ -point of  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -point.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X$  is  $h$ -local and that  $x \in X$  is not an almost  $P$ -point. Then there is some nowhere dense zero-set of  $X$ , say  $Z(f)$ , containing  $x$ . But then  $f$  is regular and hence  $Z(f)$  is finite. Using the Tychonoff property one can construct an  $f' \in C(X)$  such that  $Z(f') = \{x\}$ . Since zero-sets are  $G_\delta$ -sets the statement follows. □

**Example 2.12.** Observe that the space  $X$  in Example 2.5 is an  $h$ -local space as every infinite closed set is  $ap$ -set. However, note that not every infinite closed set is an almost  $P$ -set. For example, take any countable subset  $I' = \{i_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq I$  and let  $S = \{\alpha_{i_n}\} \cup \{\alpha\}$ ;  $S$  is closed. It is straightforward to check that  $Z$ , the union of  $S$  together  $\bigcup_{i \in I \setminus I'} X_i$ , is a zero-set. Furthermore, for each  $i \in I'$ ,  $\alpha_i \notin \text{cl int } Z$ . This demonstrates the condition 2. of Theorem 2.9 cannot be strengthened to almost  $P$ -sets.

We let  $C^*(X)$  denote the subring of  $C(X)$  consisting of bounded continuous functions on  $X$ . The map that takes an  $f \in C(\beta X)$  to the restriction of  $f$  to  $X$ , is a ring homomorphism from  $C(\beta X)$  into  $C^*(X)$ . Since  $X$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $\beta X$ , it follows that the homomorphism is an isomorphism. We address when  $C^*(X)$  is an  $h$ -local ring, i.e. when  $\beta X$  is  $h$ -local.

**Theorem 2.13.** *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space. The ring  $C^*(X)$  is  $h$ -local if and only if  $X$  is pseudo-compact and  $C(X)$  is  $h$ -local. In other words,  $\beta X$  is  $h$ -local if and only if  $X$  is pseudo-compact and  $h$ -local.*

*Proof.* Clearly, the sufficiency is true. So suppose that  $C^*(X)$  is  $h$ -local and let  $f \in C(X)^+$  be an unbounded function; without loss of generality  $f \geq \mathbf{1}$ . Since  $C^*(X)$  and  $C(\beta X)$  are isomorphic, the latter is also  $h$ -local, by hypothesis. Let  $g = f^{-1}$  which belongs to  $C^*(X)$  and let  $g^\beta$  be the extension to all of  $\beta X$ . Now,  $\emptyset \neq Z(g^\beta) \subseteq \beta X \setminus X$ , and hence nowhere dense. Since  $C^*(\beta X)$  is  $h$ -local it follows that  $Z(g^\beta)$  is finite contradicting [7, Theorem 9.5]. Consequently,  $X$  is pseudo-compact.  $\square$

**Example 2.14.** Theorem 2.13 is mirroring Levy's result about almost  $P$ -spaces [12, Proposition 2.1] which states that  $\beta X$  is an almost  $P$ -space if and only if  $X$  is a pseudo-compact almost  $P$ -space. Levy also pointed out that an open subset of an almost  $P$ -space is again an almost  $P$ -space, and the same is true for dense subsets. These two facts cannot be generalized to  $h$ -local spaces as witnessed by Example 2.5. Notice that  $Y$ , the disjoint union of the uncountable collection of copies of the one-point compactification of  $\mathbb{N}$  is a dense open subset of the  $h$ -local (compact) space  $X = \alpha Y$ , while  $Y$  is not  $h$ -local. To see this, observe that the infinite set  $\{\alpha_i : i \in I\}$  is not an almost  $P$ -set of  $Y$ . Obviously, clopen subsets of  $h$ -local spaces are again  $h$ -local.

**Proposition 2.15.** *Every dense cozero-set of an  $h$ -local space is again  $h$ -local.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be an  $h$ -local space and  $Y$  a dense cozero-set of  $X$ . Suppose  $f \in C(Y)$  is a regular element. Recall that cozero-sets are  $z$ -embedded. Thus, there is a zero-set of  $X$ , say  $Z$ , such that  $Z \cap Y = Z(f)$ . Notice that  $Z \setminus Z(f) \subseteq X \setminus Y$  and therefore,  $Z$  must be nowhere dense, and hence finite. It follows that  $Z(f)$  is also finite.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.16.** *Suppose  $X$  has the property that every dense open subset of  $X$  is a cozero-set. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

1.  $X$  is  $h$ -local.
2.  $X$  has only a finite number of non-almost  $P$ -points.
3.  $X$  is almost discrete.

*Proof.* Recall that  $X$  is **almost discrete** if it has only a finite number of non-isolated points.

Clearly, 3. implies 2., and 2. implies 1.

1. implies 3. Suppose  $X$  is an  $h$ -local space. First of all, the hypothesis implies that every non-isolated point is a  $G_\delta$ -point, and hence not an almost  $P$ -point. Now, in any infinite (Hausdorff) space, one can construct a discrete subset. Therefore, if the set of non-almost  $P$ -points is infinite, then there is a discrete collection of such points, say  $S$ . Then  $\text{cl } S$  is nowhere dense. In our case,  $\text{cl } S$  is an infinite nowhere dense zero-set, a contradiction. Thus, there are only a finite number of non-isolated points.  $\square$

**Example 2.17.** As we have seen, the metrizable space  $\alpha\mathbb{N}$  is an  $h$ -local space. Since a metric space, and more generally, a perfectly normal space satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 2.16, it follows that a perfectly normal space is an  $h$ -local space if and only if it is almost discrete. Interestingly, this includes all countable spaces.

**Definition 2.18.** Recall from [1] that a function  $f \in C(X)$  is said to be **nowhere constant** if for every nonempty open subset of  $X$ , say  $O$ , there are  $x, y \in O$  such that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . This is equivalent to saying that for each  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f^{-1}(\{r\})$  is nowhere dense.

If  $X$  has a nowhere constant function, then  $X$  does not possess any almost  $P$ -points. For more information on nowhere constant functions the reader is referred to [2].

**Proposition 2.19.** *Suppose  $X$  is  $h$ -local. Then no  $f \in C(X)$  is nowhere constant.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is nowhere constant. For any  $r \in f(X)$ , the function  $f - r$  is also nowhere constant. So we may assume that  $Z(f) \neq \emptyset$ . Since for any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  both  $f^{-1}(s)$  and  $f^{-1}(-s)$  are nowhere dense it follows that  $|f|$  is also nowhere constant. So, we may assume further that  $f \geq 0$ . There must exist a decreasing sequence, say  $\{r_n\}$ , of positive real numbers belonging to  $f(X)$  converging to 0, (otherwise,  $Z(f)$  is clopen). Let  $h \in C(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $Z(h) = \{0\} \cup \{r_i\}$ . Set  $r_0 = 0$  and consider  $h \circ f$ .

$$Z(h \circ f) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z(f - r_n).$$

Set  $Z = Z(h \circ f)$  and observe that for each  $n \neq 0$  there is an open subset of  $X$ , say  $O_n$ , containing  $Z(f - r_n)$  and so that  $O_n \cap Z(f - r_m) = \emptyset$  for all  $m \neq n$ . We claim that  $Z$  is nowhere dense. If not, then there is some non-empty open set, say  $O$ , such that  $O \subseteq Z$ . If there is some  $z \in O \cap Z(f - r_n)$  (with  $n \neq 0$ ) then  $\emptyset \neq O \cap O_n \subseteq Z(f - r_n)$ , contradicting that  $Z(f - r_n)$  is nowhere dense. Therefore,  $O \subseteq Z(f - r_0) = Z(f)$ . But this contradicts that  $Z(f)$  is nowhere dense.

Finally, since  $Z$  is clearly an infinite set, we gather that  $X$  is not  $h$ -local. □

**Corollary 2.20.** *If  $X$  is dense in itself and an  $h$ -local space, then by [1, Theorem 2],  $X$  cannot be separable.*

Our aim is to determine whether there exists an  $h$ -local space with no almost  $P$ -points. We do not have a complete answer but our next result rules out a big class of spaces.

**Theorem 2.21.** *Suppose  $X$  is not pseudo-compact. If  $X$  is  $h$ -local, then  $X$  has an almost  $P$ -point.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X$  is an  $h$ -local space which is not pseudo-compact. If  $X$  contains no almost  $P$ -point, then every point of  $X$  is a non-isolated  $G_\delta$  point. Since  $X$  is not pseudo-compact there is some  $C$ -embedded copy of  $\mathbb{N}$ , say  $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$  is  $C$ -embedded (see [7, Corollary 1.2]). Then there is some  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $f(x_n) = n$ . Considering  $f^{-1}((n - \frac{1}{3}, n + \frac{1}{3}))$  it follows that there is a sequence of

pairwise disjoint zero-sets, say  $\{Z_n\}$ , such that  $x_n \in C_n \subseteq Z_n$  where  $C_n = \text{coz}(g_n)$  for  $g_n \in C(X)$ .

We can assume that  $0 \leq g_n \leq 1$  and that  $g_n(x_n) = 1$ . Now since each  $x_n$  is a  $G_\delta$  point we can find  $t_n \in C(X)$  such that  $0 \leq t_n \leq 1$  and  $t_n^{-1}(1) = x_n$ . Then the function  $h_n = g_n \cdot t_n$  has the property that  $0 \leq h_n \leq 1$ ,  $x \in \text{coz}(h_n) \subseteq Z_n$  and  $h_n(y) = 1$  implies  $y = x_n$ .

Then the infinite sum of the  $h_n$ , call it  $h$ , is continuous and  $Z(h - 1) = \{x_n\}$  which means that  $\{x_n\}$  is an infinite nowhere dense zero-set, a contradiction.  $\square$

Our next aim to rule out another significant class of spaces: compact spaces, see Corollary 2.24. We get a more general result.

**Theorem 2.22.** *Suppose  $X$  is normal and each non-almost  $P$ -point has countable character. Then  $X$  is  $h$ -local if and only if there is no countably infinite closed set consisting of non-almost  $P$ -points. Moreover, a normal first countable space is  $h$ -local if and only if it is almost discrete.*

*Proof.* Suppose there is a countably infinite closed set consisting of non-almost  $P$ -points, say  $T$ . Choose a discrete subset  $D$  of  $T$ . Notice that  $D$  is nowhere dense and thus so is the countable set  $\text{cl } D$ . If  $D = \text{cl } D$ , then  $D$  is a closed discrete set and hence a  $G_\delta$ -set. Otherwise, choose  $x \in \text{cl } D \setminus D$  and then select a sequence in  $D$ , say  $\{d_n\}$ , such that  $d_n \rightarrow x$ . Then  $\{x\} \cup \{d_n\}$  is also a closed  $G_\delta$ -set. In either case, there is an infinite nowhere dense closed  $G_\delta$ -set. Since  $X$  is normal this set is a zero-set, whence  $X$  is not  $h$ -local.

Conversely, if  $X$  is not  $h$ -local, then there is an infinite nowhere dense zero-set, say  $Z$ . Since each point of  $Z$  is not an almost  $P$ -point, each point of  $Z$  is of countable character. Select a discrete subset of  $Z$ , and either it is closed or we can choose a convergent sequence in  $Z$ . Either way, there is an infinite closed sequence in  $Z$  consisting of non-almost  $P$ -points.

Finally, suppose that  $X$  is a normal first countable space that is also  $h$ -local. Notice that every point in a first countable space is a  $G_\delta$ -point and therefore an almost  $P$ -point must be isolated. The collection of non-isolated points is a closed subset of  $X$  and therefore we can find either a closed discrete set or a non-constant sequence that converges in it. Either way we obtain a countably infinite closed set of non-almost  $P$ -points, contradicting the hypothesis.  $\square$

**Remark 2.23.** Observe that the last statement of Theorem 2.22 does not hold for Frechet-Urysohn spaces. Let  $Y$  be a countable collection of copies of  $\alpha D$ , and then let  $X = \alpha Y$ , the one-point compactification of  $Y$ . Both  $X$  and  $Y$  are Frechet-Urysohn spaces, and both are  $h$ -local spaces. Neither is almost discrete.

**Corollary 2.24.** *Suppose  $X$  is compact. Then  $X$  is  $h$ -local if and only if there is no countably infinite closed set consisting of non-isolated  $G_\delta$ -points. Moreover, a compact  $h$ -local space must contain an almost  $P$ -point.*

*Proof.* In a compact space, the pseudo-character of a point equals the character of said point. Therefore, the first statement follows from Theorem 2.22.

If  $X$  is compact  $h$ -local and does not contain any almost  $P$ -points, then there is certainly a convergent sequence in  $X$ , contradicting that  $X$  is  $h$ -local.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.25.** *Suppose  $(X, \leq)$  is a totally ordered space. Then  $X$  is  $h$ -local if and only if there is no countably infinite closed set consisting of non-isolated  $G_\delta$ -points. Moreover, a totally ordered  $h$ -local space must contain an almost  $P$ -point.*

*Proof.* Every totally ordered space is normal and so Theorem 2.22 applies. Furthermore, in a totally ordered space the pseudo-character of a point equals its character. If  $p \in X$  is not an almost  $P$ -point, then it is a  $G_\delta$ -point and hence has a countable base of neighborhoods. Thus,  $X$  must contain an almost  $P$ -point otherwise there is a sequence of non-almost  $P$ -points converging to a non-almost  $P$ -point, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 2.26.** The proof in Corollary 2.25 works for perfect images of GO-spaces since such spaces are normal and the pseudo-character equals the character, see [8].

We also point out that if  $X$  is a Suslin line, then  $X$  has no nowhere constant function by [2, Theorem 5]. Since  $X$  is a totally ordered space with no almost  $P$ -points, it is not  $h$ -local. Therefore, the class of  $h$ -local spaces is a proper subclass of the class of spaces with no nowhere constant functions.

At this point we are left with the following question.

**Question.** Is there a pseudo-compact  $h$ -local space with no almost  $P$ -points?

If there is such a space  $X$  it cannot be compact. Furthermore, we know that  $\beta X$  is a compact  $h$ -local space and so it must contain an almost  $P$ -point. Any such point must live inside of  $\beta X \setminus X$ . In fact, any point of  $\beta X \setminus X$  must be an almost  $P$ -point of  $\beta X$ . Otherwise, it would be a  $G_\delta$ -point of  $\beta X$  contradicting the fact that no point of  $\beta X \setminus X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -point.

In [4], the authors investigated almost  $P$ -spaces as a subclass of quasi  $F$ -spaces. In particular, they show that a product of two spaces is an almost  $P$ -space if and only if each space is an almost  $P$ -space. We now classify when a product is an  $h$ -local space.

**Theorem 2.27.** *The product space  $X \times Y$  is  $h$ -local if and only if either both  $X$  and  $Y$  are almost  $P$ -spaces or one of them is  $h$ -local while the other is finite.*

*Proof.* Necessity. Suppose  $X \times Y$  is  $h$ -local and let  $Z(f)$  be a nowhere dense zero-set of  $X$ . Then  $S = Z(f) \times Y$  is a zero-set of  $X \times Y$ . If  $Z(f) \times Y$  has non-empty interior, say  $(x, y) \in \text{int } S$ , then there is an open set of the form  $O_1 \times O_2$  such that  $(x, y) \in O_1 \times O_2 \subseteq Z(f) \times Y$ . It follows that  $x \in O_1 \subseteq Z(f)$ , which cannot happen. Therefore,  $S$  is a nowhere dense zero-set of  $X \times Y$ . By hypothesis,  $S$  is finite, and therefore  $Z(f)$  is finite. Consequently,  $X$  is  $h$ -local. Similarly,  $Y$  is  $h$ -local.

Suppose that one of  $X$  or  $Y$  is not an almost  $P$ -space, say  $X$ . Then there is some non-isolated point of  $X$ , say  $p \in X$ , which is a  $G_\delta$ -point. Let  $f \in C(X)$  satisfy  $Z(f) = \{p\}$ . Define  $\phi : X \times Y$  by  $\phi((x, y)) = f(x)$  and observe that  $\phi \in C(X \times Y)$  and  $Z(\phi) = \{p\} \times Y$ . We claim that  $Z(\phi)$  is nowhere dense, whence  $Y$  must be finite.

Suppose  $(p, t) \in \text{int } Z(\phi)$ . Then there are open sets  $O_1 \subseteq X$  and  $O_2 \subseteq Y$  such that

$$(p, t) \in O_1 \times O_2 \subseteq \{p\} \times Y.$$

It follows that  $p$  is an isolated point, a contradiction. Therefore,  $Z(\phi)$  is nowhere dense.

Sufficiency. If both  $X$  and  $Y$  are almost  $P$ -spaces, then so is  $X \times Y$  by [4, Proposition 5.10]. If  $X$  is  $h$ -local and  $Y$  is finite, then  $X \times Y$  is homeomorphic to a finite sum of copies of  $X$  which is again  $h$ -local.  $\square$

**Remark 2.28.** In [3], the authors investigate what they call  $DC$ -spaces (short for densely constant). The space  $X$  is a  $DC$ -space if for each  $f \in C(X)$  there exist open sets  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  which are pairwise disjoint, the union of which is dense in  $X$ , and such that  $f$  is constant when restricted to each of the  $U_i$ . Any space with a dense set of almost  $P$ -points is a  $DC$ -space. Therefore, not every  $DC$ -space is  $h$ -local. Furthermore, a  $DC$ -space does not have any nowhere constant functions.

We do not know if an  $h$ -local space must be a  $DC$ -space.

**Acknowledgement.** We would like to thank the referee for their careful reading of the article and their thoughtful suggestions which vastly improved the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bella, A. and P. Simon, *Function spaces with a dense set of nowhere constant elements*. Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (7) **4** (1990), no. 1, 121–124.
- [2] Bella, A. *A couple of questions concerning nowhere constant continuous functions*. Recent developments of general topology and its applications (Berlin, 1992), 27–32, Math. Res., **67**, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1992
- [3] Bella, A., Martinez, J., and Woodward, S. D. *Algebras and spaces of dense constancies*. Czechoslovak Math. J. **51**(126) (2001), no. 3, 449–461.
- [4] Dashiell, F.; Hager, A.; Henriksen, M. *Order-Cauchy completions of rings and vector lattices of continuous functions*. Canadian J. Math. **32** (1980), no. 3, 657–685.
- [5] Fine, N. J., L. Gilman, J. Lambek Rings of Quotients of Rings of Continuous Functions. Lecture Note Series, McGill University Press (Montreal), 1966.
- [6] Fontana, M., Houston, E., Lucas, T. *Factoring ideals in integral domains*. Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana, **14**. Springer, Heidelberg; UMI, Bologna, 2013.
- [7] Gillman, L. and M. Jerison. *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. **43**, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976.
- [8] Gruenhage, G. and D. J. Lutzer, *Perfect images of generalized ordered spaces*, Fund. Math. **240** (2018), no. 2, 175–197.
- [9] Henriksen, M., J. Vermeer, and R. G. Woods. *Quasi  $F$ -covers of Tychonoff spaces*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **303** (1987), no. 2, 779–803.
- [10] Huckaba, James A. *Commutative Rings With Zero Divisors*. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 117. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1988.

- [11] Jaffard, P. *Théorie arithmétique des anneaux du type de Dedekind. II.* Bull. Soc. Math. France **81**, (1953). 41–61.
- [12] Levy, R. *Almost  $P$ -spaces* Can. J. Math. **29** (1977), no. 2, 284–288.
- [13] Lucas, Thomas G. *Weakly additively regular rings and special families of prime ideals*, Palest. J. Math. **7** (2018), no. 1, 14–31.
- [14] Mahdou, N., Mimouni, A., and Moutui, M. A. S. *On  $pm$ -rings, rings of finite character and  $h$ -local rings.* J. Algebra Appl. **13** (2014), no. 6, 1450018.
- [15] Matlis, E. *Cotorsion Modules.* American Mathematical Soc. Number 49, 1964.
- [16] Olberding, B. *Characterizations and constructions of  $h$ -local domains.* Models, modules and abelian groups, 385–406, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2007.
- [17] Omairi, A. *H-Local Rings.* Thesis (Ph.D.)—Florida Atlantic University. 2019.

<sup>1</sup> PBHATTACHARJEE@FAU.EDU, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FLORIDA ATLANTIC UNIVERSITY, BOCA RATON, FL 33431

<sup>2</sup> AEPSTEIN@UCCS.EDU, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80918

<sup>3</sup> WARREN.MCGOVERN@FAU.EDU, WILKES HONORS COLLEGE, FLORIDA ATLANTIC UNIVERSITY, JUPITER, FL 33458

<sup>4</sup> TOENISKOETTER@OAKLAND.EDU, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, OAKLAND UNIVERSITY, ROCHESTER, MI 48309