

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

Final Exam – MATH 3130 Fall 2009

Directions. Read all directions. Make sure to show any necessary work to receive full credit. Remember to use the back of a sheet if you need to, but label the problem accordingly. If you remove the staple for some reason please put your name on every page.

1. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a FOL and let  $P$  and  $Q$  be sentences in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Answer the following questions regarding  $P$  and  $Q$ .

(a) What does it mean when we say  $P$  is a literal?

(b) What does it mean when we say  $P$  and  $Q$  are logically equivalent?

(c) What does it mean when we say  $P$  is a tautological consequence of  $Q$ ?

2. Briefly explain the difference between a predicate and a function symbol.

3. State one of the distributive laws.

4. Consider the following values for sentences in the language of Tarski's World:

- 1:  $P$  is a tautology.
- 2:  $P$  is a logical necessity.
- 3:  $P$  is a logical possibility.
- 4:  $P$  is TT-possibility.
- 5:  $P$  is not a truth table possibility.

For each of the following TW-sentences determine the least value for which the sentence makes the value true. Circle each of your responses.

- (a)  $(\text{SameRow}(a, b) \wedge \text{SameCol}(b, a)) \rightarrow a = b$ .
- (b)  $a = a$ .
- (c)  $(\text{SameSize}(a, b) \wedge \text{SameShape}(a, b)) \rightarrow a = b$ .
- (d)  $\text{Larger}(a, b) \vee \text{Smaller}(a, b) \vee a = b$ .
- (e)  $\neg (\text{Large}(a) \wedge \text{Large}(b) \wedge \text{Adjoins}(a, b))$ .
- (f)  $\text{Large}(a) \wedge \text{Large}(b) \wedge \text{Adjoins}(a, b)$ .
- (g)  $\text{Medium}(a) \vee \neg \text{Medium}(b) \vee \text{Medium}(b)$ .
- (h)  $(\text{Small}(a) \rightarrow \text{Cube}(a)) \rightarrow [(\text{Small}(a) \vee \text{Medium}(b)) \rightarrow \text{Cube}(a)]$

5. State what means for an argument to be valid.

6. Supply a Fitch proof for the following Fitch argument. You may not use **Ana Con**. You may use **Taut Con** but only for an instance of the Law of Excluded Middle.

1.  $\neg\exists x\forall y\mathbf{P}(x, y)$

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.  $\forall x\exists y\neg\mathbf{P}(x, y)$

7. Supply a Fitch proof for the following Fitch argument without premises. You may not use **Ana Con**. You may use **Taut Con** but only for an instance of the Law of Excluded Middle.

1. \_\_\_\_\_

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.  $(\neg P \vee Q) \leftrightarrow (\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P)$ .

8. Supply a Fitch proof for the following Fitch argument without premises. You may not use **Ana Con**. You may use **Taut Con** but only for an instance of the Law of Excluded Middle.

1. \_\_\_\_\_

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.  $A \vee (B \wedge C) \leftrightarrow (A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee C)$ .

9. The following argument is valid. Supply a Fitch proof. You may not use **Ana Con** nor **Taut Con**.

1.  $\exists x \exists y \mathbf{P}(x, y)$

2.  $\forall x \forall y (\mathbf{P}(x, y) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}(y, x))$

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.  $\exists x \exists y \mathbf{Q}(x, y)$

10. Determine whether the following argument is valid. If it is valid supply a Fitch proof. Otherwise supply a counterexample. You may not use **Ana Con** but feel free to use **Taut Con** if it helps.

1.  $\forall y [\text{Cube}(y) \vee \text{Dodec}(y)]$

2.  $\forall x [\text{Cube}(x) \rightarrow \text{Large}(x)]$

3.  $\exists x \neg \text{Large}(x)$

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.  $\exists x \text{Dodec}(x)$

11. Supply a Fitch proof for the following Fitch argument without premises. You may not use **Ana Con**. You may use **Taut Con** but only for an instance of the Law of Excluded Middle.

1.  $\forall x (\text{Dodec}(x) \rightarrow \text{LeftOf}(x, a))$

2.  $\forall x (\text{Tet}(x) \rightarrow \text{RightOf}(x, a))$

3.  $\forall x \forall y (\text{LeftOf}(x, y) \rightarrow \neg \text{SameCol}(x, y))$

4.  $\forall x \forall y (\text{RightOf}(x, y) \rightarrow \neg \text{SameCol}(x, y))$

5.  $\forall x (\text{Cube}(x) \vee \text{Dodec}(x) \vee \text{Tet}(x))$

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.  $\forall x (\text{SameCol}(x, a) \rightarrow \text{Cube}(x))$

12. Determine whether the following argument is valid. If it is valid supply a Fitch proof. Otherwise supply a counterexample. You may not use **Ana Con** but feel free to use **Taut Con** if it helps.

1.  $\forall x (\text{Cube}(x) \vee \text{Small}(x))$

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.  $\forall x \text{Cube}(x) \vee \forall x \text{Small}(x)$



13. Determine whether the following argument is valid. If it is valid supply a Fitch proof. Otherwise supply a counterexample. You may not use **Ana Con** but feel free to use **Taut Con** if it helps.

1.  $\forall x \forall y [\text{LeftOf}(x, y) \rightarrow \text{Larger}(x, y)]$

2.  $\forall x [\text{Cube}(x) \rightarrow \text{Small}(x)]$

3.  $\forall x [\text{Tet}(x) \rightarrow \text{Large}(x)]$

4.  $\forall x \forall y [(\text{Small}(x) \wedge \text{Small}(y)) \rightarrow \neg \text{Larger}(x, y)]$

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.  $\forall z \forall w [(\text{Tet}(z) \wedge \text{Cube}(w)) \rightarrow \text{LeftOf}(z, w)]$

14. Bonus!! Use Fitch to give a formal proof. Do not use **Ana Con**; you may use **Taut Con**.

1.  $\exists x (\text{Cube}(x) \wedge \forall y (\text{Cube}(y) \rightarrow y = x))$

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.  $\exists x \forall y (\text{Cube}(y) \leftrightarrow y = x)$