

MAD 2104 Honors Discrete Mathematics

- | | |
|--|--|
| (1) atomic sentence p.19, p.23 | (21) tautological consequence p. 107, p.110, p.111 |
| (2) predicate p.19, p.32 | (22) logical consequence p.107, p.111 |
| (3) function symbol p.20, p.31, p.32 | (23) Proof by Cases (<i>vee</i> Elim) p. 133 |
| (4) arity p.21, p.33 | (24) Proof by Contradiction aka Indirect Proof (\neg -Intro) p.137 |
| (5) infix v. prefix notation p.23 | (25) contradiction p. 138 |
| (6) literal | (26) necessary, sufficient condition p.182 |
| (7) complex sentence | (27) unless p.182, p.183 |
| (8) arguments, premises, and conclusion, p.41 | (28) if and only if, iff p.184 |
| (9) logical consequence p.42 | (29) just in case p.184 |
| (10) sound argument p.43 | (30) contrapositive p.200 |
| (11) indiscernibility of identicals (=substitution) p.49 | (31) Modus Ponens p.199, p.200 |
| (12) counterexamples p.63 | (32) quantified sentences p.229 |
| (13) Boolean connectives (operators) p.67 | (33) everything, each thing, all things, anything (\forall) - universal quantifier p.232 |
| (14) truth table p. 67 | (34) something, at least one thing, a, an (\exists) - existential quantifier p.232 |
| (15) DeMorgan's Laws p.83 | (35) free variable v. bound variable p.234 |
| (16) TW-possible p.95 | (36) vacuously p.247 |
| (17) Law of Excluded Middle p.97 | (37) quantifiers and tautologies p. 261 |
| (18) tautology p.100, p.101 | (38) DeMorgan's Laws for quantifiers p.281 |
| (19) logical necessities p.101 | |
| (20) TT-possible p.103 | |

A **predicate** takes the appropriate number of subjects and results in an atomic sentence.

A **function symbol** takes the appropriate number of subjects and results in a subject.

A **boolean operator** takes the appropriate number of sentences and results in a sentence.

A **tautology** is a sentence whose truth value in a truth table are all true. (A literal cannot be a tautology.)

A sentence is a **TW-necessity** if it is true in every world where it is defined.

A sentence is **TW-possible** if there is a world where it is true.

A sentence is a **TT-possibility** if there is a row in its truth table that is true.

The sentence Q is a **tautological consequence** of P if when you construct the truth table that includes P and Q, then in any row where P is true, Q is also true. In other words, the sentence $P \rightarrow Q$ is a tautology.

The sentence Q is a **logical consequence** of P if for any world where both P and Q are defined, then if P is true in that world, then so is Q. In other words, the sentence $P \rightarrow Q$ is a logical necessity.

A **contradiction** is a sentence that is both true and false.